

The Impact of Generational Diversity on Workplace Productivity in Guyana's Aviation Industry

Saheed Sulaman¹ and N. S. Santhi²

¹*School of Business and Management, Texila American University, Georgetown, Guyana*

²*Department of Management and Commerce, CDOE, SRM University, Sikkim*

Abstract

Guyana's aviation sector deals with considerable workplace challenges stemming from generational diversity. Organisations need to manage the different abilities and work styles as Baby Boomers work alongside Generation X members who collaborate with Millennials and Generation Z professionals. The research investigates the impact of generational diversity on productivity in Guyana's aviation industry and stresses the need to comprehend these dynamics to achieve organisational success. By combining Generational Cohesion Theory with the Diversity Paradigm Model, Social Exchange Theory and Organisational Behaviour Theory, this research builds strategies which enhance both employee collaboration and workplace productivity across different generations. Effective knowledge transfer and staff integration in the aviation sector requires understanding generational dynamics due to its strict safety protocols and technological advancements. According to the research results, generational diversity has a clear impact on workplace productivity. Research findings demonstrate that generational differences substantially impact productivity levels for 85.96% of employees. Research findings indicate that operational inefficiencies stem from inadequate communication systems combined with incompatible technological skills, which highlights the importance of specialised training solutions to address generational differences. Effective teamwork and enhanced productivity in aviation depend on resolving these identified issues.

Keywords: *Generational Diversity, Workplace Productivity.*

Introduction

The contemporary workplace operates through concurrent engagement with multiple generational groups who contribute unique abilities and learning approaches to their positions. Four generational groups form the foundation of the modern workplace, starting with Baby Boomers and Generation X, and leading to Millennials, followed by Generation Z. The four generational groups exhibit different childhood experiences and diverse work attitudes and goals since their birth years correspond to specific social and technological developments [9]. Workplace productivity benefits from organisational diversity which

arises from multigenerational workforce profiles.

Guyana's aviation sector faces significant challenges with its workforce composition and management. Millennials and Generation Z choose their jobs based on work-life balance and values because these groups prioritize work-life balance alongside career advancement. Employers face rising recruitment costs and training expenses as talent shortages in the industry expand because these workforce changes create new challenges. Industry stakeholders need to develop successful retention strategies for younger employees that respect older staff members' values [13].

The aviation industry implements rigorous safety protocols to handle its complex operational requirements. The sector adheres to required safety standards while simultaneously managing distinctive workforce challenges between various generational groups. Effective management of multiple workforce types is essential for maintaining safety operations and achieving productivity benchmarks.

The operational procedures followed by experienced staff in aviation companies become problematic when new employees introduce technology skills that conflict with these existing methods. Generational differences shape training methods, which lead to below-average operational outcomes, while communication protocols and decision-making rules introduce further obstacles [7].

Organisations benefit from different theoretical models, which help them manage workforce generational differences and their impacts on productivity levels. For example, the Diversity Paradigm Model suggests that positive workplace environments emerge from combined efforts of equity programming and inclusion practices. The Generational Cohesion Theory underscores generational convergence, establishing mutual respect and appreciation through shared objectives [15]. Applying these theories to Guyana's aviation sector will solve major workplace problems and create pathways for employees to achieve their full potential.

Problem Statement

The presence of multiple generations within aviation workplaces produces obstacles, especially in collaborative efforts and precision. The presence of Baby Boomers, Generation X, Millennials and Generation Z workers enhances creative potential but causes misunderstandings due to varied work standards, which affects productivity [4, 24]. The aviation industry in Guyana experiences altered performance outcomes because of

generational diversity [20]. Achieving higher productivity within the industry relies on effectively solving these problems.

Research Aim

The study examines the influence of generational diversity on productivity levels in Guyana's aviation industry. The study investigates how generational differences affect workplace productivity so industry stakeholders can receive recommendations that will improve workplace collaboration and productivity.

Research Objectives

The research objectives are as follows:

1. Examine how generational diversity affects overall productivity levels.
2. Examine technology adoption with intergenerational communication patterns to understand their effects on productivity.

Literature Review

The aviation sector requires effective management of generational diversity because safety regulations combined with rapid technological progress create unique challenges. The ongoing need for professional development and certification creates obstacles for transferring knowledge from veteran workers to new employees [4, 7]. Staffing model adjustments are necessary to recruit new talent and promote effective information sharing [3].

The aviation sector within developing nations, such as Guyana, experiences insufficient research attention despite its significance because studies tend to focus on developed markets that display distinct workplace dynamics [7]. Filling this research gap is critical because doing so will support academic knowledge advancement and practical diversity management solutions in workplace settings.

The study of generational diversity in workplace environments has gained significant

attention as diverse age groups with their unique experiences and skills merge within organizations [22, 36]. Workplace productivity is defined as task completion efficiency and contribution to goals and depends on the successful integration of various generational groups in the workplace [29].

New studies demonstrate that interest in generational diversity has expanded quickly as workforce patterns change. Multi-generational staff integration presents organisations with unique management challenges, which shows why it's crucial to recognise how different age groups affect productivity levels and workplace interactions [5, 27].

Generational diversity significantly impacts workplace productivity. Organisations achieve greater efficiency and creative output through effective integration of generational teams [9, 36]. Failure to address generational differences leads to decreased employee engagement and increased workplace conflicts [27].

Theoretical Framework

Investigating workplace generational diversity draws from organisational behaviour principles, workforce psychology, and diversity management theories that clarify generational cohort interactions and adaptation processes. The aviation sector requires successful generational collaboration to ensure operational efficiency and workforce stability because of strict safety regulations, as indicated by multiple sources [3, 7, 8]. Four essential theoretical frameworks explain generational diversity's impact on workplace productivity.

1. Generational Cohort Theory
2. Social Exchange Theory
3. Diversity Paradigm Model
4. Organisational Behaviour Theory

The theories offer guidance for utilising generational strengths while resolving conflicts between different age groups.

Generational Cohort Theory (GCT): serves as a dominant framework for analyzing

workplace diversity and employee behavior which stems from shared socio-historical experiences according to the original work of Karl Mannheim [23] and subsequent scholarly work that elucidates generational value systems and behavior patterns [23]. Research shows that generational traits shape how people communicate alongside their leadership methods and drive employee motivation [32].

The aviation sector benefits from GCT because it helps identify generational characteristics to develop appropriate workforce strategies based on motivational factors. The workplace dynamics are distinctly influenced by the separate values of each generational group including Baby Boomers (1946-1964), Generation X (1965-1980), Millennials (1981-1996), and Generation Z (1997-2012) [12, 21, 31, 33].

GCT helps organizations develop workforce policies that satisfy generational needs to improve employee retention, engagement levels, and productivity rates [32]. Aviation and other sectors can build intergenerational partnerships through GCT coupled with modern diversity strategies by analyzing historical values to solve workplace technological challenges.

Social Exchange Theory (SET) remains a basic framework for studying employment relationships because it focuses on how employees interact by exchanging resources and benefits [18]. The theory asserts that organisational commitment from employees emerges from comparing their input of effort and skills against received rewards like salary and career advancement opportunities [1, 10, 19].

Organizations employ the Diversity Paradigm Model (DPM) as a theoretical framework to guide effective workplace diversity management. The Diversity Paradigm Model organizes diversity strategies into three distinct paradigms: Discrimination-and-Fairness, Access-and-Legitimacy and Integration-and-Learning. Workplace culture

development, alongside productivity enhancement and intergenerational dynamics management, results from each paradigm's influence. The aviation sector experiences enhanced employee retention and better performance outcomes through cultural development in diverse teams when these diversity paradigms are properly applied [21, 26, 35].

Organisational Behaviour Theory (OBT) integrates aspects of psychology and sociology with management sciences to analyze workplace human interactions [26, 29]. Organisational Behaviour Theory serves as an essential framework for understanding multigenerational workplaces since different age groups exhibit unique motivational factors and behaviors which drive operational success. Generation Z requires instant feedback whereas Millennials desire collaborative work environments, Generation X values self-reliance and Baby Boomers prioritize job security [5, 11]. Organizations can create better collaboration and boost productivity among their diverse multigenerational teams by applying findings from OBT research [2, 9].

Application of Theories to Workplace Productivity

Workplace productivity remains a critical factor in the aviation industry, which requires teamwork and knowledge-sharing [25]. Workforce productivity analysis and improvement depend on multiple theoretical frameworks such as Generational Cohort Theory, Social Exchange Theory, Diversity Paradigm Model, and Organisational Behaviour Theory, as established by Padilla [26]. Research by Wanyoike et al. [36] demonstrates how workforce motivation, leadership strategies, diversity management, and team dynamics influence productivity levels.

According to GCT, organisations should establish mentorship programs that pair the

digital expertise of younger workers with older employees' experience [14]. The partnership promotes innovation and employee engagement by providing flexible work options for Millennials while offering skill-based training to older workers [12].

According to SET fair exchanges between individuals function as key drivers of employee loyalty and productivity. Introducing mentoring and recognition programs lead to better teamwork while reducing employee turnover, according to Lestari et al. [21]. Airlines that implement recognition systems demonstrate increased motivation levels among their flight crews according to AviaPro's 2025 report [3].

DPM reveals that inclusive workplaces result in better organizational performance through diverse teams that generate innovative solutions and elevate team morale [36]. Implementing Inclusion and Belonging Programs and multigenerational innovation teams utilize diversity to achieve higher productivity levels [27].

OBT demonstrates that team success depends on employee motivation, which effective leadership can cultivate to create productive work environments [36]. Optimal workforce performance results from organisations that effectively integrate GCT, SET, DPM, and OBT strategies, such as skills mapping, employee engagement initiatives, diversity policies, and leadership development [6].

When organizations implement these theories, they improve employee morale while boosting productivity and strengthening intergenerational teamwork. Organizations that utilize generational strengths alongside diverse workplace dynamics achieve higher levels of employee retention and innovation while improving team effectiveness.

Methodology

The investigation analyzes how generational diversity influences workplace

productivity levels in Guyana’s aviation industry. This study implements a quantitative survey method which builds upon earlier research that used structured survey tools to measure employee actions and work output [36].

The research applies a descriptive survey design to methodically evaluate employee perspectives and behaviours while minimising researcher bias and enhancing statistical reliability [30, 34]. Standardized questions enable comparative analysis across various employee demographics in the aviation industry.

The study targets employees from multiple aviation industry sectors, including airline operators, regulatory agencies, airport operators, air navigation service providers and ground handlers. 238 participants were used to collect enough data for a comprehensive analysis.

Data was gathered through a structured questionnaire on SurveyMonkey which examined both generational diversity and workplace productivity issues. The online method boosts efficiency while preserving the anonymity of survey participants [16]. Peer-reviewed journals and industry reports as secondary sources were used to support primary research data [34].

Descriptive statistical analysis was used to process survey data and perform cross-tabulations to identify response patterns and generational differences. According to previous studies, manual computations and Python programming were used to produce statistical results, which is a validated approach for achieving transparency in aviation workforce research [28].

The research demonstrates ethical integrity by obtaining informed consent, managing data anonymously and following GDPR regulations, which protect participant rights and confidentiality [30, 34].

Data Analysis

A mixed-methods approach guided the study analysis, which combined quantitative and qualitative data to provide a dependable and extensive view of workplace dynamics across different generations. Professionals from different aviation industry sectors participated in the research, which collected inputs from airline operators, regulators, airport service providers and ground handling support services. The survey received complete responses from 238 participants and included closed-ended Likert scale questions alongside open-ended responses for thorough qualitative feedback.

The research included an integrated review of qualitative and quantitative results. The researcher discovered elements that both supported and contradicted each other in the data by examining numerical information alongside participant narratives. The comprehensive methodology provided insight into key patterns that impact workplace interactions and allowed for the identification of barriers as well as actionable strategies to understand how generational diversity influences productivity.

Results

The productive success of an organisation depends fundamentally on the contributions of different generations within the workplace.

Table 1. Age Distribution of Respondents - Sourced from Survey Data

Age Group	Percentage (%)	Number of Respondents
Baby Boomers (1946-1964)	5.08%	12
Generation X (1965-1980)	16.53%	39

Millennials (1981-1996)	41.10%	97
Generation Z (1997-Present)	37.29%	88

The aviation sector workforce in Guyana consists mainly of Millennials, 41.10%, and Gen Z workers, 37.29%. The industry experiences an expanding workforce presence from younger working generations. The workforce contains only a small percentage of Baby Boomers (5.08%), which shows that this generation's retirement patterns are reducing their numbers in the population.

In the aviation workforce, the majority of employees (55.74%) work in private sector

jobs, while 42.98% hold positions in the public sector. Only 1.28% of workers in the aviation industry find employment in non-profit organizations.

Employees who have achieved higher levels of education increase their opportunities for career advancement and leadership positions at work. The survey results revealed the following breakdown as per Table 2.

Table 2. Education Levels Among Participants - Sourced from Survey Data

Education Level	Percentage (%)	Number of Respondents
CSEC / CXC / O-Levels	53.42%	125
Associate Degree	12.39%	29
Bachelor's Degree	21.79%	51
Master's Degree	11.97%	28
Doctorate	0.43%	1

The majority of aviation workers surveyed have CSEC/CXC/O-Levels qualifications, which shows a broad spectrum of qualification levels within the industry. 0.43% of survey participants hold Doctorate degrees, which demonstrates that professional achievement in the aviation sector does not require advanced degrees.

Employee experience levels reveal generational representation patterns across

different workplace positions. The survey reveals that 48.95% of employees work in middle management positions within their organisations. Survey results show that senior and executive-level management positions are held by 29.12% of employees. The aviation industry employs 21.94% of its workforce in entry-level positions as shown below:

Table 3. Job Level Distribution - Sourced from Survey Data

Job Level	Percentage (%)	Number of Respondents
Entry-Level Employee	21.94%	52
Mid-Level Employee	48.95%	116
Senior-Level Manager	23.21%	55
Executive/Director-Level	5.91%	14

A large portion of employees (44.72%) have over 8 years of experience, suggesting strong retention rates in aviation-related

careers. Only 14.35% of respondents have worked in their organisation for less than a

year, indicating that new hires make up a smaller fraction of the workforce.

The aviation sector shows strong employee retention since 44.72% of workers possess more than 8 years of experience. The workforce data shows that only 14.35% of respondents have been employed at their organisation for less than one year which

illustrates that new employees represent a smaller portion of the workforce.

Productivity Impact of Generational Differences

Survey participants offered differing opinions on the ways various generations influence workplace productivity levels.

Table 4. Impact of Generational Differences on Workplace Productivity - Sourced from Survey Data

Response Type	% of Respondents	No. of Respondents
Positively - Encourages Collaboration	13.30%	31
No Impact	3.43%	8
Some Challenges but Manageable	65.67%	153
Negatively - Causes Misunderstandings	13.30%	31
No Noticeable Changes	4.29%	10

A majority of respondents (65.67%) held the view that generational differences create challenges which employees can address by applying suitable strategies. According to 13.30% of employees, generational diversity enhances team collaboration. 13.30% of cases experienced serious misunderstandings because of generational differences yet these misunderstandings did not lower productivity.

The research revealed that employees identified both beneficial aspects and undesirable effects of generational workforce diversity on productivity levels. Teams benefit from innovative advantages through diverse perspectives when the workforce includes members from several generations. New employees receive guidance from experienced personnel to develop their skills through their specialized knowledge. Poor alignment between organizational work preferences leads to reduced performance levels from workers when compatibility is insufficient. Older workers need structured schedules, while younger employees prefer flexible ones, which creates conflict between these age groups.

The Social Exchange Theory model [1, 10] demonstrates that organizations which promote equal sharing of knowledge and

respect among employees while providing opportunities achieve the highest productivity levels. Ivey & Dupré [19] illustrate how mentorship programs solve generational divides and boost workplace performance.

Influence of Generational Cohorts on Workplace Culture

The research study sought to determine which generational group strongly influences workplace cultural patterns. Survey data indicated that Millennials born between 1981–1996 showed the greatest influence in the workplace since they were chosen by 36.44% of participants (86 respondents). The Generation X group kept second place with their 31.36% representation (74 respondents) while Generation Z followers made up 24.15% (57 respondents). The survey responses ranked Baby Boomer employees (1946–1964) as the least influential worker group, based on 8.05% (19 respondents).

The research findings are consistent with workforce trends showing Millennials as a substantial segment of the current workforce [12]. The integration of flexible work practices along with team-based approaches and technology use for better efficiency transforms

workplace culture. Management staff from Generation X who occupy middle to upper-level positions have a major influence over how company policies develop and the management strategies that become established in their organisation.

Collaboration Across Generations

Intergenerational workplace relationships depend heavily on consistent, collaborative efforts between colleagues. According to the survey results, 71.01% of respondents maintain daily interactions with colleagues from multiple generations, while 16.39% of participants communicate with intergenerational colleagues multiple times weekly. Employees from various age groups collaborate multiple times monthly, while only a few engage minimally or not at all with colleagues from different generations.

Conclusion

This research investigated how generational diversity impacts productivity levels within the aviation industry of Guyana. The study found that generational diversity significantly impacts workplace productivity. The data demonstrates that generational differences have a substantial impact on productivity, since 85.96% of surveyed employees agreed with this assertion.

The research identifies that operational inefficiencies stem from inadequate communication systems and technological skill mismatches between generations and emphasises the importance of customised training solutions to overcome these

generational divides. To reach effective teamwork levels and boost productivity in the aviation sector, there is a need to handle these issues properly.

The research by Wang & Noe [35] and Hoever & van Knippenberg [17] support these results by demonstrating that productivity increases when diverse teams promote knowledge transfer between generations. The study validates this position by revealing that workplace performance can increase through strategic generational diversity if organizations manage this diversity effectively.

Limitations of the Study

The research examines generational diversity in aviation based on over 200 responses, but offers limited applicability to technology, healthcare, and finance sectors. Research results may prove less effective in varied cultural settings where inter-generational relationships are shaped by local cultural forces. Researchers need to recognize these study boundaries and investigate particular obstacles to improve understanding across different industries.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

My deep appreciation goes to Dr. N. S. Shanthi for her supervision and guidance. My gratitude extends to both my tutors and Texila American University staff members in Guyana who provided essential support.

References

- [1]. Adams, J. S., 1965. Inequity in social exchange. *Advances in experimental social psychology*, 2, 267-299: Accessed from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0065260108601082>.
- [2]. Angeline, T., 2011. Managing generational diversity at the workplace: expectations and

- perceptions of different generations of employees. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(2), 249-255: Accessed from: chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/http://academicjournals.org/article/article1380532841_Tay.pdf.
- [3]. AviaPro. 2025, January 7. The Workforce Challenge in the Aviation Industry: Bridging the

- Talent Gap. Retrieved from LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/workforce-challenge-aviation-industry-bridging-talent-2rxtf>
- [4]. Aviation International News. 2023, September 18. *Navigating Multigenerational Challenges*. Retrieved from [ainonline.com: https://www.ainonline.com/aviation-news/business-aviation/2023-09-18/navigating-multigenerational-challenges](https://www.ainonline.com/aviation-news/business-aviation/2023-09-18/navigating-multigenerational-challenges)
- [5]. Bennett, J., Pitt, M., & Price, S. 2012. Understanding the impact of generational issues in the workplace. *Facilities*, 30(7/8), 278-288.
- [6]. Boselie, P., & van der Heijden, B. 2025. *Strategic Human Resource Management: A Balanced Approach*. McGraw Hill.
- [7]. Bottino, B. 2024, September 22. *Safety and the multigenerational workforce*. Retrieved from <https://www.safetyandhealthmagazine.com/>: <https://www.safetyandhealthmagazine.com/articles/25934-safety-multigenerational-workforce>
- [8]. Cennamo, L., & Gardner, D. 2008. Generational Differences in Work Values, Outcomes and Person-Organisation Values Fit. *Emerald Publishing*, 23(8): 891-906.
- [9]. Chiwisa, C. C., & Mpundu, M., 2024. Multigenerational workforce and organisational performance: A convergent analysis. *International Journal of Academe and Industry Research*, 5(4),23-52 Doi:10.53378/ijair.353107.
- [10]. Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S., 2005. Social Exchange Theory: An Interdisciplinary Review. *Journal of Management* 2005, 31(6), 874-900: Accessed from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Russell-Cropanzano/publication/234021447_Social_Exchange_Theory_An_Interdisciplinary_Review/links/0a85e533ad9de76aa1000000/Social-Exchange-Theory-An-Interdisciplinary-Review.pdf.
- [11]. Delcampo , R. G., Haggerty, L. A., Haney, M. J., & Knippel, L. A., 2010. Managing the Multi-Generational Workforce: From the GI Generation to the Millennials. *Routledge*.
- [12]. Deloitte 2024 Gen Z and Millennial Survey. Accessed from: <https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/issues/work/content/genz-millennialsurvey.html>: Deloitte Global.
- [13]. Fuchs, O., Lorenz, E., & Fuchs, L., 2024. Generational Differences In Attitudes Towards Work and Career: A Systematic Literature Review On The Preferences Of Generations X, Y And Z. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies*, 11(7) 54-71. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383860257>.
- [14]. Gallup. 2020. *How Millennials Want to Work and Live*. Accessed from: <https://www.gallup.com/workplace/238073/millennials-work-live.aspx>
- [15]. George, A. S., Baskar, T., & Srikaanth, P. B., 2024. Bridging the Generational Divide: Fostering Intergenerational Collaboration and Innovation in the Modern Workplace. *Partners Universal International Innovation Journal*, 2(3), 198-217. Available: <https://zenodo.org/records/12348084>.
- [16]. Halim, M. A., Foozy, C. F., Hamid, I. R., & Mustapha, A., 2018. A Review of Live Survey Application: SurveyMonkey and SurveyGizmo. *International Journal on Informatics Visualization*, 4(2), 309-312: Accessed from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327576330_A_Review_of_Live_Survey_Application_SurveyMonkey_and_SurveyGizmo/link/5bcae637458515f7d9cbf7b6/download?_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6InB1Ym.
- [17]. Hoever, I. J., & van Knippenberg, D., 2021. How diversity promotes team creativity: two bumpy roads to collective inspiration. *Creative Success in Teams*, 81-99.
- [18]. Homans, G. C. 1958. Social Behaviour as Exchange. *American Journal of Sociology*, 63(6), 597-606: Accessed from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2772990>.
- [19]. Ivey , G. W., & Dupré, K. E., 2022. Workplace Mentorship: A Critical Review. *Journal of Career Development*, 49(3), 714-729.
- [20]. Kelly, J., 2024, June 18. *How Reverse Mentoring Bridges Generational And Cultural Divides In The Workplace*. Retrieved from Forbes: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/jackkelly/2024/06/18/how-reverse-mentoring-bridges-generational-and-cultural-divides-in-the-workplace/>

- [21]. Lestari, D. I., Kurniawan, B., Perdana, M. S., & Mahfudz, 2024. Improving the Productivity of Cross-Generational. *Research Horizon*, 4(6): 223-230: Accessed from: <https://lifescifi.com/journal/index.php/RH/article/download/414/330>.
- [22]. Lyons, S., & Kuron, L., 2013. Generational differences in the workplace: A review of the evidence and directions for future research. *Journal of Organisational Behaviour*, S1-22, S23-S157: Accessed from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/toc/10991379/2014/35/S1>.
- [23]. Mannheim, K., 1952. The Problem of Generations. London: Routledge.
- [24]. OAG. 2024, July 16. Navigating Generational Shifts in the Airline Industry. Retrieved from [oag.com: https://www.oag.com/blog/generational-shifts-airline-industry](https://www.oag.com/blog/generational-shifts-airline-industry)
- [25]. Ochis, K., 2024. Gen Z in Work: A Practical Guide to Engaging Employees Across the Generations. *Taylor & Francis*.
- [26]. Padilla, J. M., 2024. Navigating Generational Shifts in Public Sector Organisations. *California State University, Northridge*, Accessed from: <chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnibpcapjpcglefindmkaj/http://scholarworks.calstate.edu/downloads/7h149x87x>.
- [27]. Pitt-Catsoupes, M., & Matz-Costa, C., 2008. The multi-generational workforce: Workplace flexibility and engagement. *Community, work and Family*, 11(2), 215-229.
- [28]. Prybutok, V. R., & Ramasesh, R., 2005. An action-research based instrument for monitoring continuous quality improvement. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 166(2), 293-309: Accessed from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0377221704001249?via%3Dihub>.
- [29]. Robbins, S., & Judge, T., 2018. *Organisational Behaviour (18th edition)*. New York: Pearson.
- [30]. Sharma, R., Choudhary, P., Dabi, B., Lovelesh, Shikha, & Chand, P., 2022. An empirical study on employee's job satisfaction affects their performance in low-cost airlines. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(2), 6424-6437.
- [31]. Slagsvold, B., & Hansen, T., 2021. The Baby-boomer generation. *In book: Generational Tensions and Solidarity Within Advanced Welfare States*, 153-172: Accessed from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354323608_The_Baby-boomer_generation.
- [32]. Smola, K., & Sutton, C. D., 2002. Generational Differences: Revisiting Generational Work Values for the New Millennium. *Journal of Organisational Behaviour*, 23(4): 363-382: Accessed from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227533994_Generational_Differences_Revisiting_Generational_Work_Values_for_the_New_Millennium.
- [33]. Turner, A., 2015. Generation Z: Technology and Social Interest. *The Journal of Individual Psychology*, 71(2):103-113.
- [34]. Tyagi, A., & Tripathi, R., 2023. Safety management system and hazards in the aircraft maintenance industry: a systematic literature review. *Aviation*, 27(3), 212-224: Accessed from: <https://journals.vilniustech.lt/index.php/Aviation/article/view/19851>.
- [35]. Wang, S., & Noe, R. A., 2010. Knowledge Sharing: A Review and Directions for Future Research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 20(2):115-131 Accessed from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222705614_Knowledge_Sharing_A_Review_and_Directions_for_Future_Research.
- [36]. Wanyoike, M., Mugambi, H., & Gachuru, G., 2025. Managing generational diversity and its impact on employee performance. *Fluent Publishers*, 1(1), 39-46: Accessed from: <https://fluentpublishers.com/index.php/FPJ/article/view/8/22>.