

		done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	
Data items	10	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	9
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	11	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	8
Synthesis of results	12	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	7-8
Results			
Selection of sources of evidence	13	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	7
Characteristics of sources of evidence	14	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	9-15
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	15	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	9-15
Results of individual sources of evidence	16	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	9-15
Synthesis of results	17	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	16-19
Discussion			
Summary of evidence	18	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	20-24
Limitations	19	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	24-24
Conclusions	20	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	25
Funding			
Funding	21	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	26

JB1 = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.