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#### Abstract

Lack of physical activities is one of the strongest risk factors for many chronic cardiovascular diseases, including hypertension, diabetes, obesity, osteoporosis, colon cancer, and depression. This was an experimental study that employed both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods that involved pre-exercise medical evaluation and survey. It investigated the effects of two independent variables, which are the correlate of Socio-demographic factors and PE training on cardiovascular diseases among 600 volunteered fresh students at Babcock University. The data were subjected to statistical analysis, and result were presented in tables and figures. The result of the Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) showed that there was no significant relationship P>0.05 between gender, level of education, vital signs, and anthropometric measurement. Well above average (74.3\%) had a normal MAP, and many (21.5\%) were between pre-diabetics. The combined result of the Body Mass Index showed many (60.9\%) were obese and overweight. Irregular participation in Physical Activities showed a significant relationship ( $P<0.05$ ) even do some $160(26.7 \%)$ had excellent overall physical health, and 173 (28.8\%) had good overall physical health. There were significant interactional effects between groups and time for perceived benefits, interpersonal norms, social support, counter heart conditioning, stimulus control, overall time spent on being physically active per week ( $P<0.05$ ). In conclusion, it is recommended that collegiate should engage in regular physical activity at a level appropriate to their capacities, needs, and interests to maintain optimal cardiovascular health status.
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## Introduction

Inactivity and lack of physical activities physical exercise is one of the strongest risk factors for many chronic diseases and conditions, including cardiovascular disease, [1] hypertension, diabetes, obesity, osteoporosis, colon cancer, and depression [23]. It has been found that Training in Physical Activities or engaging in Physical Exercises
may significantly reduce other risk factors for atherosclerosis [4-6]. Also, in one of the reports by $[2,5,7]$ the health-seeking behaviour of a person who seeks health care who of course is a consumer and may also be a co-producer of his or her health, in following good habits of diet, hygiene and exercise, and complying with medication, creating, or adopting a lifestyle of being active [2].

World Health Organization (WHO) issued the same statement on the wake of the global pandemic of Covid 19 that physical exercise is necessary during the lockdown as this may reduce other risk factors for atherosclerosis (e.g., blood lipid abnormalities and elevated blood pressure levels) and thereby decrease the risk of macrovascular or atherosclerotic complications of diabetes [8, 9].

Initial studies found increased severity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [10], caused by infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), in patients with diabetes mellitus. Furthermore, COVID-19 might also predispose infected individuals to hyperglycaemia. [11] opined that regular physical activity decreases the risk of cardiovascular disease mortality in general [10, 12] and of coronary heart disease mortality in particular, hence it was a piece of open advice to prevent such complications among patients with diabetes mellitus who are at risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection that they should try not to be sedentary for long periods, as regular physical activity is associated with decreased incidence of thromboembolism [13]. Instead, these individuals should try to engage in physical activity to improve blood circulation [10, 14, 15].

College students, generally late adolescents (age of transition between childhood and selfsufficient adulthood), go through many physical and mental changes that may have positive and negative influences on their lives [16, 17]. It is observed that participation in regular physical activity during these transitionary periods assist college students to cope with stress, aiding their transition into self-sufficient adulthood [15].

Approximately one-third of children in Nigeria are overweight or obese. It has been observed by [18] that there is also a trend of low involvement of college students on physical activities, which may lead to obesity [19]. Children (particularly adolescents) need a positive behavioural change on weight control, as obese individuals may also suffer from social
stigmatization, discrimination, and lowered self-esteem [10, 15, 20, 21]. Overweight and obesity (including childhood obesity) substantially raise the risk of illness from high blood pressure, high cholesterol [5, 22], type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and stroke, gallbladder disease, arthritis, sleep disturbances, cardiovascular disease [5], hypertension [23], osteoporosis, colon cancer [19] missing before [24], and depression [25] and certain types of cancers.

In research, it was [26] recommended 30 minutes of moderate activity on five (5) or more days per week or twenty minutes (20) [2, 26] of vigorous activity three (3) or more times per week [20, 27]. It has been recommended that an appropriate exercise program, if added to the diet or drug therapy, will improve blood glucose control, and reduce certain cardiovascular risk factors among persons with diabetes [24, 28, 31].

The undergraduates may not have known that they are at risk of such diseases like diabetes, high blood pressure, and arteriosclerosis, arthritic situations, and burden of cardiovascular diseases or invariably placed them at a high risk of total breakdown from these diseases or associated diseases if care (proper) is not taken [19, 29].

Diet and exercise have been found to be most effective for controlling NIDDM in persons who have mild disease and are not taking medications [30, 31]. Hence, this study sought to increase the time spent, intensity, and sustained participation in physical activities/exercises of the adolescents at Babcock University to improve the general wellbeing of the students and militate against cardiovascular diseases.

## Materials and Methods

The study Design adopted was a one-arm quasi-experimental testing and control. It was aimed at investigating the effects of two independent variables, which are the correlate of Socio-demographic factors and Physical

Exercise training on cardiovascular diseases among volunteered 600 freshmen of Babcock University. The study employed both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods, an experimental study which involved the collection of vital signs among volunteers and the use of a questionnaire for the study. These were done through a pre-exercise medical evaluation and survey within six weeks among the freshmen of Babcock University Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State.

## Results

The result of the post-intervention has been shown in line with the baseline Test and reports. However, Table 1 shows the changes in mean knowledge score and that the outcome variables across pre and post have significant interaction effects between groups. The Knowledge mean score at the base was $19.57 \pm$ 6.12 and the Knowledge mean score at the post was $21.78 \pm 5.36$ on a 27 point scale.

Table 1. Comparison of Knowledge means Scores of the Respondents at Baseline and Post Intervention

|  | Base Line |  |  |  | Post-intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. <br> Error |  | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. <br> Error |  | Pvalue |
| Knowledge | 600 | 19.5700 | 6.12843 | . 25019 | Total | 600 | 21.7817 | 5.36333 | . 21896 | . 613 | . 032 |

## Baseline/pre-Intervention Result for Knowledge mean Score (KMS) on Physical Activity Behaviour of the Respondents

Table 1 presents results relating to respondents' knowledge mean score at baseline. Knowledge scores were from a range of 25 , and a score below average is rated low, and above the mean is rated high. The knowledge means sscore for the group was $19.37 \pm 6.035$. The knowledge mean result showed that the level of KMS was above the average, which was high compared on a 27 point scale. There was no significant relationship $\mathrm{df}=2 \mathrm{~F}=1.566$ and $\mathrm{P}>0.005$. It was observed that a very reasonable
number of respondents indicated that physical exercise played a great role in enhancing the health of undergraduate students at Babcock University. These show that the freshmen have grasp knowledge of physical exercise to enhance their state of health. Figure 1 below showed that some $126(21 \%)$ of the respondents seldom or never engaged in exercise that increase breathing; some135 ( $22.5 \%$ ) had it for less than one time per week; few 105 ( $17.5 \%$ ) had it for one to two times per week, some $201(33.5 \%)$ had it for three to five times per week while $33(5.5 \%)$ of the respondents had it six times or more per week.


Figure 1. Showing the Details of Respondents Participating in Exercises that Increase Breathing at Baseline


Figure 2. Exercise that Respondents get Involved that Involved Increased Breathing Post Test

The post test showed a significant increase in physical activities and a corresponding decrease in physical inactivity.

The comparison of the baseline and the posttest indicated that there was an increase from $105(17 \%)$ to 174 ( $29 \%$ ) among those who exercise one or two times per week. And there was a great change among those who exercised six times a week or more per week from $33(5.5 \%)$ to $156(26.0 \%)$. The changes in outcome variables across time for the group had
a significant interaction effects on time for perceived benefits, social support, counter conditioning, and overall time spent being active per week and PA (mean minutes per day), indicating that the pre and post differed across time. Main effects tests for the group at post-intervention, with the baseline values as a covariate revealed significant differences for counter conditioning, $\mathrm{F}=59.276, \mathrm{p}=.000, \mathrm{R}=$ -282 , the overall minutes on those who can do PA , per week $=2.30$.

Table 2. Comparison between the Mean Arterial Pressure and the Socio variables

| Mean Arterial BP <br> Group |  | Age of patients | Blood Sugar | Body <br> Temperature | Body Mass Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | Mean | 47.5000 | 98.0000 | 36.3500 | 24.3700 |
|  | N | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 19.09188 | . | . 49497 | 7.09935 |
|  | Median | 47.5000 | 98.0000 | 36.3500 | 24.3700 |
|  | \% of Total N | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Normal | Mean | 55.3559 | 113.7667 | 36.2463 | 28.1991 |
|  | N | 59 | 30 | 54 | 54 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 15.28439 | 58.23951 | 1.01400 | 8.00475 |
|  | Median | 55.0000 | 97.5000 | 36.5000 | 26.5450 |
|  | \% of Total N | 81.9\% | 81.1\% | 80.6\% | 81.8\% |
| High | Mean | 58.2727 | 114.3333 | 36.5091 | 27.5410 |
|  | N | 11 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 9.73746 | 34.03332 | . 73410 | 3.56879 |
|  | Median | 58.0000 | 108.0000 | 36.8000 | 28.3700 |
|  | \% Of Total N | 15.3\% | 16.2\% | 16.4\% | 15.2\% |
| Total | Mean | 55.5833 | 113.4324 | 36.2925 | 27.9833 |
|  | N | 72 | 37 | 67 | 66 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 14.57158 | 53.85193 | 95953 | 7.43343 |
|  | Median | 55.0000 | 99.0000 | 36.5000 | 26.8150 |
|  | \% Of Total N | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

From the Table 3 below, the Sig. $(2=$ tailed) for all the variables in relation to the 3 groups of the mean arterial pressure (MAP) (the Pvalue of the result were classified as low, normal, and high) were greater than 0.05 . Hence, there is no significant difference between the variables, that is mean ages, blood sugar level, body temperature, and the body mass index of patients, and the resulting MAP categorized as low, normal, and high. The result showed that the mean arterial pressure did not affect the age, blood sugar level, body temperature, and body mass index of this group of Students $\mathrm{P}>0.005$.

Table 4 showed the cross-examined variables. The result showed the results of all the vital and anthropometric measurements. The body mass index showed that many are obese or are classified as having overweight these group formed about ( $60.9 \%$ ) of the cohort, some had prediabetes tendency (32.4\%) and the body temperature were normal while the Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) showed that many (74.3\%) from among the cross section of the students that volunteered were within normal range (Value $4.638^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{df} 8, \mathrm{P}=.000$ ).
Table 3. ANOVA Table Showing the Mean Arterial Pressure of Students

| Variable |  | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of respondents * Mean Arterial BP Group | Between Groups (Combined) | 213.293 | 2 | 106.646 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 14862.207 | 69 | 215.394 | . 495 | 612 |
|  | Total | 15075.500 | 71 |  |  |  |
| Blood Sugar * Mean Arterial BP Group | Between Groups (Combined) | 246.381 | 2 | 123.191 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 104154.700 | 34 | 3063.374 | 040 | . 961 |
|  | Total | 104401.081 | 36 |  |  |  |
| Body Temperature * Mean Arterial BP Group | Between Groups (Combined) | 638 | 2 | . 319 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 60.128 | 64 | . 940 | 339 | . 713 |
|  | Total | 60.766 | 66 |  |  |  |
| Body Mass Index * Mean Arterial BP Group | Between Groups (Combined) | 30.582 | 2 | 15.291 |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 3561.054 | 63 | 56.525 | 271 | . 764 |
|  | Total | 3591.636 | 65 |  |  |  |

(Value 4.638a df 8, P> .005)

| S/Nos | Gender | Blood glucose | Temperature | BMI | MAP | BMI group | Blood sugar level | Body temp | Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Female | - | - | - | 98.33 | - | - |  |  |
| 2 | Female | - | - | 41.59 | 106.67 | Obese | - | Normal |  |
| 3 | Male | 82.00 |  | - | 108.33 | - | Normal |  |  |
| 4 | Female | 180.00 | 37.40 | 30.09 | 123.33 | Obese | Diabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 5 | Male | - | 32.00 | 17.96 | 74.00 | Underweight | - | High |  |
| 6 | Female | - | 36.00 | 29.39 | 69.33 | - | Low | Normal |  |
| 7 | Female | - | 35.00 | 42.46 | 108.00 | Obese | - | Normal | Low |
| 8 | Female | - | 29.90 | 96.67 | - | Low | Normal |  |  |
| 9 | Female | - | 26.57 | 96.67 | Overweight | - | Normal | Normal |  |
| 10 | Male | - | 36.70 | 36.40 | 26.52 | 83.33 | Overweight | - | Normal |
| 11 | Male | - | 24.01 | 105.00 | - | Normal |  |  |  |
| 12 | Female | - | 26.96 | 96.67 | Overweight | - | Normal | Normal |  |


| 13 | Female | - | 36.90 | 34.70 | 96.67 | Obese | - | Normal | Normal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Female | - | 32.00 | 23.53 | 110.00 | Normal | - | Low | Normal |
| 15 | Female | 88.00 | 36.20 | 35.92 | 93.33 | Obese | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 16 | Female | 113.00 | 36.30 | 25.95 | 116.67 | Overweight | Prediabetes | Normal | High |
| 17 | Female | 382.00 | 37.50 | 24.24 | 86.67 |  | Diabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 18 | Female | 95.00 | 35.00 | 20.60 | 80.00 | Normal | Normal | Low | Normal |
| 19 | Female | 43.00 | 36.50 | 32.24 | 103.33 | Obese | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 20 | Female | 83.00 | 36.30 | 24.92 | 86.67 |  | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 21 | Female | - | 35.00 | 22.94 | 95.67 | Normal | - | Low | Normal |
| 22 | Female | - | 35.00 | 28.33 | 116.67 | Overweight | - | Low | High |
| 23 | Female | - | 37.10 | 28.35 | 111.33 | Overweight | - | Normal | High |
| 24 | Female | - | 36.50 | 30.23 | 93.67 | Obese | - | Normal | Normal |
| 25 | Female | - | 37.00 | 25.43 | 85.33 | Overweight | - | Normal | Normal |
| 26 | Female | - | 36.40 | 23.23 | 88.00 | Normal | - | Normal | Normal |
| 27 | Female | 94.00 | 36.80 | 30.84 | 116.67 | Obese | Normal | Normal | High |
| 28 | Female | - | 36.50 | 23.67 | 101.67 | Normal | - | Normal | Normal |
| 29 | Female | - | 36.90 | 30.82 | 113.33 | Obese | - | Normal | High |
| 30 | Female | - | 35.50 | 20.24 | 140.00 | Normal | - | Low | High |
| 31 | Female | 100.00 | 36.00 | 26.67 | 99.00 | Overweight | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 32 | Male | 107.00 | 36.00 | 22.66 | 123.33 | Normal | Prediabetes | Normal | High |
| 33 | Female | 106.00 | 36.00 | 18.98 | 86.00 | Normal | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 34 | Female | - | 36.40 | 28.98 | 102.67 | Overweight | - | Normal | Normal |
| 35 | Female | 93.00 | 36.20 | 40.75 | 92.67 | Obese | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 36 | Female | - | 36.80 | 30.48 | 109.33 | Obese | - | Normal | Normal |
| 37 | Female | - | 36.00 | 23.91 | 80.33 | Normal | - | Normal | Normal |
| 38 | Male | - | 36.50 | 31.02 | 103.33 | Obese | - | Normal | Normal |
| 39 | Female | 106.00 | 36.80 | 65.00 | 90.00 | Obese | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 40 | Female | 93.00 | 36.00 | 29.39 | 93.33 | - | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 41 | Female | 93.00 | 36.00 | 18.81 | 100.67 | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 42 | Female | 95.00 | 36.70 | 39.72 | 106.67 | Obese | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 43 | Female | - | 37.20 | 24.56 | 82.33 | - | - | Normal | Normal |


| 44 | Female | - | - | 24.54 | 96.00 | - | - | - | Normal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 345 | Female | - | 37.00 | - | 95.00 | - | - | Normal | Normal |
| 46 | Female | 94.00 | 36.70 | 36.51 | 86.67 | Obese | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 47 | Female | 205.00 | 35.50 | - | 90.00 | - | Diabetes | Low | Normal |
| 48 | Female | 113.00 | 36.00 | 37.65 | 86.67 | Obese | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 49 | Female | 98.00 | 36.70 | 19.35 | 66.00 | Normal | Normal | Normal | Low |
| 50 | Female | 89.00 | 36.80 | 32.42 | 105.00 | Obese | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 51 | Female | - | 36.80 | 28.39 | 106.67 | Overweight | - | Normal | Normal |
| 52 | Female | 120.00 | 37.00 | 22.49 | 76.67 | Normal | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 53 | Female | 94.00 | 36.80 | 23.05 | 75.33 | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 54 | Female | 110.00 | 37.40 | 27.06 | 83.33 | Overweight | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 55 | Male | 99.00 | 36.10 | - | 98.67 | - | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 56 | Female | 90.00 | 36.40 | 19.17 | 93.33 | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 57 | Female | 83.00 | 36.80 | 29.74 | 133.33 | - | Normal | Normal | High |
| 58 | Female | - | 36.70 | 22.98 | 82.67 | Normal | - | Normal | Normal |
| 59 | Female | 110.00 | 35.70 | 28.13 | 86.67 | Overweight | Prediabetes | Low | Normal |
| 60 | Female | 122.00 | 36.70 | 38.10 | 76.67 | Obese | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 61 | Male | - | 35.70 | 21.88 | 106.67 | Normal | - | Low | Normal |
| 62 | Male | - | 36.70 | 20.08 | 83.33 | Normal | - | Normal | Normal |
| 63 | Male | - | 36.60 | 23.23 | 76.67 | Normal | - | Normal | Normal |
| 64 | Female | 118.00 | 36.50 | 24.16 | 87.67 | - | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 65 | Female | 109.00 | 37.00 | - | 111.33 | - | Prediabetes | Normal | High |
| 66 | Female | - | 35.60 | 29.22 | 73.33 | - | - | Low | Normal |
| 67 | Female | 185.00 | 36.40 | 30.67 | 86.67 | Obese | Diabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 68 | Male | 109.00 | 36.10 | 19.65 | 79.33 | Normal | Prediabetes | Normal | Normal |
| 69 | Female | 96.00 | 35.80 | 27.55 | 89.67 | Overweight | Normal | Low | Normal |
| 70 | Female | 96.00 | 37.30 | 24.77 | 93.33 | - | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 71 | Male | 104.00 | - | 25.16 | 90.67 | Overweight | Prediabetes | - | Normal |
| 72 | Female | - | 36.80 | 28.39 | 126.67 | Overweight | - | Normal | High |



Figure 3. Showing Time Period Spent on Vigorous Activity at the Baseline

Many 56\% are not on usual maintenance drugs as shown on table 4 and figure 3, but $27.5 \%$ used drug at least ones while 14.6 use drug at least twice or thrice. Seventeen percent
(17\%) of the respondents were diabetic, while only $60(10 \%)$ were having high blood pressure.

Table 5. Showing Respondents Health Status as Indicator of Futuristic Probability of Susceptibility to any of the Cardiovascular Diseases

|  |  |  | Pre |  | Post |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | Do you have diabetes | Yes | 104 | 17.3 | 104 | 17.3 |
| b |  | No | 470 | 78.3 | 470 | 78.3 |
| C |  | Not sure | 26 | 4.3 | 26 | 4.3 |
| d | Do you have High Blood Pressure | Yes | 60 | 10.0 | 60 | 10.0 |
| e |  | No | 504 | 84.0 | 504 | 84.0 |
| f |  | Not sure | 36 | 6.0 | 36 | 6.0 |

## Discussion

The comparison of the baseline and the posttest were that there was an increase on times of involvement in PE as respondents participate on one to two times per week from 105 (17\%) to 174 (29\%). Females dropped out of organized physical activity/sport. This was supported by [29], almost fifty percent more than males due to having too much coursework $321(53.5 \%)$. There were significant interaction effects between groups and time for perceived benefits, interpersonal norms, [5] social support, counter heart conditioning, stimulus control, overall time spent being active per week as discussed by [31] and [24] also discussed the importance
of PA in reducing incidences of obesity and CVD, this corroborated with the result that PA (mean minutes per day), indicating that the groups differed across time. Main effects tests for the group at post-intervention, with the baseline values as a covariate revealed significant differences for counter conditioning, $\mathrm{F}=59.276$, mean score within group 1.60, df 2 , $\mathrm{p}=.000, \mathrm{R}=-282$, the overall minutes on that can-do PA per week $=2.30$.

## Conclusion

Based on the outcome of this study, school administrators and policymakers should note the enormous contributions of participating in PE that it played a very significant role in
determining the student's involvement in physical activities and also generated information that can be used to design an appropriate policy framework for implementing effective physical exercise in tertiary institutions and stimulating policy formulation or facilitating necessary curricular review, aimed at promoting young persons' participation in physical activities for the purpose of maintaining good health status this was the same as opined by some researcher [12, 24, 29], and especially for the undergraduate students of Babcock University. It is thus concluded that Socio-demographic factors and physical activity training have a significant effect on cardiovascular diseases and signs that predates cardiovascular diseases.

Therefore, their involvement in physical activities might be linked to a direct and through absolute incorporation into the curriculum of higher learning is essential in encouraging adolescents to be involved in physical activities.

## Recommendations

Based on the outcome of the study, the followings are recommended:

1. Since physical inactivity is a major risk factor for CVD, hence moderate levels of regular physical activity confer significant health benefits. All collegiate should engage in regular physical activity at a level appropriate to their capacities, needs, and interests.
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